

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF FLORIDA**

**INQUIRY CONCERNING A JUDGE,
THE HONORABLE DEBRA KRAUSE
No. 14-454**

SC14- 1812

STIPULATION

In this disciplinary proceeding, the Investigative Panel of the Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission and Seminole County Judge Debra Krause, present the following stipulation to this Court pursuant to Article V, Section 12 of the Florida Constitution and Rule 6(j) of the Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission's Rules, and the Court's February 25, 2015 order.

1. Under Rule 6(j), the Investigative Panel "may reach agreement with a judge on discipline or disability, and such stipulation shall be transmitted directly to the Supreme Court, to accept, reject or modify in whole or in part."
2. A Notice of Investigation dated August 15, 2014, was served on Judge Krause. On September 11, 2014, in Orlando, Florida, the Investigative Panel conducted a hearing at which Judge Krause appeared, with counsel, and testified under oath. The Investigative Panel concluded that probable cause existed for the filing of Formal Charges, which were filed on September 17, 2014.
3. On November 19, 2014, the Court denied the parties Joint Motion to Consolidate Judge Krause's two cases, SC13-2263, and SC14-1812.

RECEIVED, 03/20/2015 03:43:51 PM, Clerk, Supreme Court

4. The JQC had investigated the incident that prompted the Notice of Investigation dated August 15, 2014, and Judge Krause voluntarily testified under oath at an expedited hearing by the JQC.
5. The JQC, in finding probable cause and recommending a public reprimand, advised this Court that the primary considerations in coming to its recommendation were the commission's determination that this was a limited transgression, albeit magnified by the pending reprimand, and Judge Krause's full cooperation and acceptance of responsibility.
6. The violation of the Judicial Canons in this matter arises from Judge Krause's single incident of participating in her husband's judicial campaign. Judge Krause admits that, while her husband was a judicial candidate, she one time used social media to seek the assistance of her friends to help her husband correct perceived misstatements of his judicial opponent.
7. Judge Krause accepted full responsibility for the conduct, admitted that it should not have occurred, and apologized. She explained that her social media posting followed multiple private but ignored attempts to correct what she and her husband perceived as misstatements about her previous JQC matter. Judge Krause told the Panel that those attempts included seeking counsel from her chief judge, twice sending the same letter to the candidate asking her to refrain from misrepresenting the record (and never receiving acknowledgement or a response,) and enlisting the help of an emissary to speak with her husband's opponent. All of these attempts proved fruitless.
8. Judge Krause further explained that she intended her posting, which was a frustrated last effort to correct the record, to be a private message to her friends. Even still, she removed the message within hours of its posting after realizing it could have a reach beyond her


circle of friends. Only through the actions of those supporting her husband's opponent was the posting further disseminated.

9. The Judicial Qualifications Commission concluded that the judge's conduct was improper but was followed quickly with remedial action, long before any notice from the JQC, and the JQC filed a Stipulation in which the JQC and Judge Krause agreed that she should receive a public reprimand for her conduct in case SC14-1812.
10. On February 25, 2015, the Court rejected that Stipulation and ordered that the public reprimand, and \$25,000 fine that the Court previously approved in Judge Krause's prior case, SC13-2263, shall remain in place, and suggested that a 30-day suspension without pay would be the appropriate resolution to case SC14-1812.
11. Pursuant to the Court's February 25, 2015 order, the parties now agree that the Court shall impose a 30-day suspension without pay, in SC14-1812.
12. The parties agree that oral argument before this Court is not necessary in light of the record, the nature of the charges, the contents of this Stipulation, and the attached Findings and Recommendation of Discipline. As previously noted, Judge Krause waives her right to further hearings if they are accepted.


Dated this 20 day of March, 2015.

Tallahassee, FL 32317

**INVESTIGATIVE PANEL OF THE
FLORIDA JUDICIAL
QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION**



Alexander John Williams
Florida Bar No. 99225
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL
PO Box 14106



Hon. Debra Krause
SEMINOLE COUNTY JUDGE



Larry Gibbs Turner, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 125168
lgt@turnerlawpartners.com
Ronald D. Kozlowski, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 69432
ron@turnerlawpartners.com
Turner, O'Connor, Kozlowski
102 N.W. Second Avenue
Gainesville, FL 32601
COUNSEL FOR JUDGE DEBRA KRAUSE